Previous references.
Pol. 46 of 1895-96
" 1164 B
Pol. 65 of 99 Dagg file
30 of 01 + file
Later references.

1903-04

File No. 37 of 03-0

Serial Nos. 1164

(police)

Legis 37-06 Sls 1-10
B. Standard
Pol. 33-13
Legis 47-13 (1-9)
CS 68-38 (1-7)
Pol 149-40 (1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1162

Part B. for June 04

SUBJECT.

Extension of Regulation I of 1895 (Prevention of Cruelty to Animals) to the Malavalli and Maudya Taluks

---

From

The Assistant Magistrate Mysore (J 1287)

No. 471 Dated 22 2 04.

forwards correspondence ending with D. Rocks, S. D. Mysore no 809 D/10 & recommends that Regulation I of 1895 (Prevention of Cruelty to Animals) may be extended to the Malavalli and Maudya Taluks.

To The Deputy Commissioner

No. 1872 J. Dated 11 3 04.

G. R. S. 58

With reference to Pt. 1 states that the recommendation to extend the Regn to any Taluk or Taluks en bloc and request to be furnished with a list of villages and towns to which the Regn

The District Magistrate Nainital (J 1778

No 655 dated 26.5.01.

forwards a list of villages and towns added for in Serial 2

The Commissioner Kumaon Division

Nainital letter : Nainital

No 655/J dated 26.5.01.

26/5/1, 26/5/2, 26/5/3 dated 1.8.01.

states The extension of Regulation I of 1893

to certain villages formerly in the Malaoudes & Mamoya Parishs.

Mysore Govt Secretariat
~~Office of the Dewan of Mysore.~~

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch:— Judicial.

Subject — Extension of Regulation I of 95 (Prevention of Cruelty to animals)

Letter No. 471 dated 22nd Feb: 1904 from the Dy. Magte, Mysore, recommending the ——— to the Malvalli and Mandya Taluks.

———

The Regulation No. I of 1895 (Prevention of cruelty to animals), which was first made applicable to Bangalore City was extended to the District Head quarter Towns in Govt Pro: No. 2624-32/J294 dated 20th August 1895; & then on the recommendation of the Dy Commissioner Kolar it was extended to the following towns in that District:—

1. Chikballapur
2. Chintamani
3. Bowringpet
4. Kolar Gold Fields.

I have had occasion to
the advisability of extending
this special legislation to
any Taluk or Taluks
such extension
moved the legal, i.e.
could the entire Taluks of
Chamraja & Malvalli be
called "local areas
in which there is a Resident
Magistrate"? Lastly the
measure in question seems
called for in respect only
that portion of the said Taluks
in which is situate the road
from Madras to Satyamangalam
- Kanakapura via Malvalli.
Why not notify this area
alone if by any practicable
a case which has not
been done in the Kolar &
Bangalore Districts which
have a jurisdiction
extending nearly all Taluks.
I moved restrict the
area as much as possible
for three reasons viz (1)
a Magistrate cannot be
easily got at (2) [illegible]
Natives cannot be deterred
from other domestic
animals (3) the need for protection
is confined only to a defined small area.

3/2/04

Typed copy
put up

3131/04

Taluk, a
Taluk or a
District can
be called a local
area within the
meaning of the
Act, can you
think of any
local area
which is without
a Resident
Magistrate. By
local area in
I think meant the
place where a
Magistrate resides.

A

I have doubts as to the advisability of extending this special legislation to any Taluk or Taluks en bloc. Moreover would such extension be legal, i.e., could the entire Taluks of Mandya and Malvalli be called "local areas in which there is a Resident Magistrate"? If a Taluk, a Sub Division or a District can be taken to be a local area within the meaning of the Regulations can you think of any local area which is without a Resident Magistrate ? By local area is, I think, meant place where a Magistrate resides. Lastly, the measure in question seems called for in respect of only that portion of the said Taluks in which is situate the road from Maddur to Sivisamudram via Malvalli. Why not notify this area alone if legally permissible? Even this has not been done in the Kolar and Bangalore Districts which have a jutka service between nearly all Taluks.

I would restrict the area as much as possible for three reasons, viz, (1) a Magistrate cannot be easily got at in the interior of Taluks, (2) jutka ponies cannot be differentiated from other domestic animals so as to enable us to limit to them the application of the law and (3) the need for protection is confined only to a defined small area.

3/3/04

3/3/04

5.3.04

1628/3.3.04

3/3/04

~~Letter~~ Pocket No. 659 dated 26th May 1904, from the Deputy Comr. Mysore &c, submitting as called for a list of the villages and towns in the Malvalli and Maudya Taluks where the Regn I of 1895 may be introduced.

Subd with a draft notification for approval.

SN
31/5/04
1.6.04

Jr Dlr Rev Thro'
Sec Cr.

2.6.04

1514
2-6-04

These villages lie on the route reported by the road on which protective action is required, I agree & the draft.

3/6/04.

4/6/04

18145
4.6.04

File no. 37 of 0304 J/1287
Ce. 1

1903-1904

From

The District Magistrate
of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore
Rev. & Genl. Depts.

Dated 22
Despatched 23 } Feb 1904
Received

General No 471
No.

Enclosures nil three

Requesting the ex-
tension of Regu-
lation I of 1895
to the Malavalli &
Krishnarajapet Talukas.

Mysore District Magistrate's Office
Dated Mysore the 22nd February 1904

L. Anantasami Rao Esqr. B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Departments.

I have the honor to state that the Sub-Division Magistrate of Hunsur has suggested the extension of the Regulation (No I of 1895) for the prevention of cruelty to animals to the Malvalli Taluk where a good number of jutkas ply for hire between Maddur (in the Mandya Taluk) and the Power Works (in the Malvalli Taluk). They also go to Kollegal. I agree with him and have actually seen the ponies treated cruelly. The regulation may be extended to the Mandya Taluk also. It is now in force only in the Head quarters of Districts.

The correspondence ending with the Sub-Division Magistrate's docket No: 809 dated the 10th June 1903 is herewith submitted for perusal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

[signature]

District Magistrate
of Mysore

= 6-03.
A. Gen.

Submitted to Govt. with letter No. 471 dy 22/2/04

Regd No 347
1902 = 0315-603

From V. Vgn Kuta Rao Esq. Sub Dy. Magistrate

To Trichinopoly

Dated 6th Nov 1903

Despatched

Received 7th Nov 1903

General No. 809.
No.

Enclosure No 2

Has the h
to submit here
Conveyance deed
-ing his Police Super
-intendent's memo
- No 651 dated 2nd June
1903 and to request
that he will be so
good as to recom-
-mend to Govern-
-ment to Extend.

V.C.

the regulation for the inc-
-ventions & conduct to the
inmates to the Talk of
Malavialle under the circum
-stances mentioned by both
the Superintendents of Mice
and the guardians of Malav-
-ailli

N. Ambassadeur
Suba du 16
Juin 18 Rabb

jpro 67D
2.6.03.

Malavalli
31st May 03.

To G. Krishnaraj Esquire B.A.
Superintendent of Police
French Rocks

Sir

On the 22nd instant while I was returning from Ballakavadi I met a pat- some four miles from Malavalli from Kollegal to Madoor being loaded with three passengers besides the driver Vijayaranga Raiar. The pony used for the pat was Karnes at- Swasamudram and was to be relieve at- Malavalli at a distance of 12 miles.

The animal was very poor condition and his fetlocks bleeded. He has no wounds and bruises all over his body. The driver was mercilessly beating the animal all the while.

The enclosed mahazar will describe the condition and bruises of the animal. There are about 15 pats running between Kollegal and Madoor every day including one at Malavalli.

8 every animal is made to run over 16 miles a day. most of the animals used by pot-drivers are puny, ill, ill assisted and bear wounds and bruises

When ever any sympathetic public or a police officer advises the driver to abstain from driving such animals he takes no heed whatever.

It would be advisable to put to the Public and annoyance to passengers when such animals are used.

I beg that you will therefore move the District Magistrate to take the permission of the Government for the introduction of Sections 1-3 inclusive of the Regulation No: I of 95. to the Municipality of Amboor

I beg to remain
Sir
your most obedient servant.

Abdul Rauf
Amboor

---

no 651.
936.

Submitted.
to the S.C. Division officers for instructions orders. there are so many cattles running in the streets I would recommend the Regulations be enforced in the Municipality of Amboor as early as possible

Address to District Magistrate recommending introduction of Regulation

File No: 37 of 03-04
Sec 2

9

Docket

Office Draft. (~~Letter.~~)

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat,
Jud. Branch.

To

The District Magistrate, Mysore

Date of passing the draft 11 March 1904
Date of despatch

Regd. No. 1892
File No. & date (if any)

No. of Encls.

His letter No. 471 dated the 22nd February 1904, recommending the extension of the Regulation No. I of 1895 for the prevention of cruelty to animals to the Malavalli and Mandya Taluks.

Adverting to the above, I am directed to state that Govt. are not disposed to extend this special legislation to any Taluk or Taluks, en bloc, as

~~specified~~ Talukis cannot be called
"local areas in which" there is
a resident Magistrate "~~as required~~" within the meaning
by clause (2), Section 1 of the Regu-
-lation. Govt are aware at the
same time that some sort of
protective action is apparently called
for and they have no objection
to extend the Regulation to ~~a particular~~
~~village certain specified~~ the villages and
towns comprised in the said local area
~~to be duly specified~~
~~in Government Order~~.

as regards that portion of the Balasore Taluk which is situated the road from Haldia to Sriramanandpur via Mahishadal

The Dt Magistrate is accordingly
requested to furnish a list of the villages & Towns
~~with the names of such particulars~~
~~as have been~~ to be furnished as above:
~~in the light of the above remarks.~~

By order,

G. Jha
Jr Offg Secretary

Drs and 2nd
his orders

SN
9/8/24

File no: 37 & 03-04 } 1778 11
& 3

1903-04

From The Dist. Magistrate Sore

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
30 MAY 1904
GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

To The Secretary to the
Govt: of Mysore
Genl & Rev. Depttt.

Dated 26th

Despatched 31st May 1904.

Received

General No. 659
No.

Enclosure No. one

In reply to his
docket No: Gen: 1892
dated 11th March last
directing that a
list of villages and
towns of the Inam dy
and Inalanadu
Taluks wherein it is
proposed to extend
the Regulation No 1
of 1895, for the Preven-
-tion of Cruelty to
Animals may be

furnished, has the honor to submit herewith a list of such villages and towns in the two Talukas referred to. The list includes only such places within whose limits the Sriraddavu Sivasamudram road passes. The Regulation may therefore be extended to the places mentioned in the list.

Dist: Magistrate
of Mysore

mention of Cruelty to animals to be 13
in the District of Mysore.

Taluk	Serial No.	Name of Village
Malavalli Taluk	1	Chattadahalli
	2	Chikkarasinahalli
	3	Doddarasinahalli
	4	Mellahalli
	5	Gudihalli
	6	Nagarakallinadoddi
	7	Nanjangud
	8	Nelamangalahalli
	9	Nagarabogabhahalli
	10	Malavalli
	11	Manchahalli
	12	Sarvamangala Devalingapura
	13	Bachanahalli
	14	Panditahalli
	15	Basavanadoddi
	16	Hosahalli
	17	Sarvamangala Belakavadi
		Nagara Hattikatte
Maddur Taluk	18	Nandya
	19	Sivapura
	20	Maddur Town
	21	Goravanahalli
	22	Kudaregundi
	23	Bovapura

Mysore Dt: Magistrate's Office
dated 28th May 1907

Dist: Magistrate
of Mysore

File No: 378 03 01
& 11

Notification

Under the provisions of section 1, clause (2) of Regulation I of 1895, entitled a Regulation for the prevention of Cruelty to animals, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are pleased to extend, on and from the 1st of Jan. 1904 the whole of the said Regulation to the following villages and Inams in the Malavalli and Mandya Taluks of the Mysore District.

(Here enter the Villages etc named in the list).

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

Compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication in three successive issues in Part I.

No. 2656/0 28/6/24

Copy the Deputy Comr., Mysore for the refce to the correspondence ending with his No. 65 and 26-5-24.

2657/J 28/6/24

Ditto to the Inspr. General of Police in Mysore for information.

2658/J 28/6/24

B.

Offg Secretary

1-6-24